

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,872	09/22/2003	Yuji Kondo	03500.017449	4237	
5514	7590 09/22/2006		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1774		
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, (, ^
	10/664,872	KONDO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Betelhem Shewareged	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHULE, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Ity be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	June 2006.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	.,		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal a) All b) Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		plication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr			
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)		•	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	<i>,</i> —	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/14/06.	6)	_•	

Application/Control Number: 10/664,872 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's response filed on 06/29/2006 has been fully considered. Claims 7-26 are canceled, and claims 1-6 are pending.

Double Patenting

- 2. Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 and 9 of copending Application No. 10/909,281. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application overlap with the claims of 10/909,281, and they would be obvious.
- 3. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

4. The obviousness type double patenting rejection has been held in view of Applicant's request.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burch et al. (US 2003/0219551 A1) in view of Tsuchiya et al. (US 6,495,242 B1).
- 6. Burch discloses an ink jet recording media comprising a substrate, a basecoat layer comprising particles and a binder [0028], and a topcoat layer comprising particles

Art Unit: 1774

and a binder [0035]. The basecoat layer further comprises a crosslinking agent of boric acid ([0035] and Examples 1 and 4). The binder in both the layers is polyvinyl alcohol [0033], and the topcoat layer further comprises additional component such as crosslinking agent [0036]. The amount of the binder in the topcoat layer is smaller [0035]. Burch does not disclose the amount of the crosslinking agent in the topcoat layer. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use smaller amount of crosslinker in the topcoat layer because the amount of crosslinker depends on the amount of the binder in the layer (see col. 8, lines 59-64 of Tsuchiya). The amount of the binder in the basecoat layer is larger than the amount of the binder in the topcoat layer, and the amount of the crosslinker required in the basecoat layer would be lager than the amount of the crosslinker required in the topcoat layer; therefore, the degree of crosslinking in the basecoat layer would be larger than the degree of crosslinking in the topcoat layer. The use of orthoboric acid, tetraboric acid or salts thereof as a crosslinker is also well known in the ink jet recording art (col. 8, lines 51-58 of Tsuchiya).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's argument is based on that the topcoat layer of Burch is not part of the ink receiving layer because the layer is used to hold anionic dye. This argument is not persuasive because the dye is an ingredient of the ink jet ink of Burch, and it is being absorbed in the topcoat layer; therefore, the topcoat layer is part of ink jet ink absorptive layer (see [0040] and abstract of Burch).

Application/Control Number: 10/664,872 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

Conclusion

- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 9. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 571-272-1529. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00AM-4:30PM.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1774

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

B.S September 16, 2006.

PRIMARY EXAMINER